

MARCH 2019

# More Cyber Insurance Buyers as Awareness Grows

In the wake of several high-profile cyber-attacks and losses in recent years, and with their increasing reliance on technology, organizations are recognizing the need to view cyber as a critical enterprise risk and to understand the scope and value of their cyber risk exposures. No longer considered solely the domain of technology teams, cyber risk is now a regular area of focus in the boardroom.

## **Companies Without Significant Privacy Risk Buying Coverage**

Over the last five years, the number of Marsh US clients purchasing cyber insurance has doubled, from 19% in 2014 to 38% in 2018 (see Figure 1). As risk awareness has grown, cyber insurance products have evolved to address a variety of privacy and operational exposures, making coverage more attractive to buyers in a broader set of industries.

In 2018, the leading buyers of cyber insurance were organizations in industries that make significant use of personally identifiable information (PII) and protected health information (PHI), which have traditionally been viewed as the primary source of cyber risk for businesses. Education and health care led the way, with purchase rates of more than 60%, followed by hospitality and gaming (see Figure 2).



Hospitality and gaming purchase rates have, in fact, increased more than any other industry sector over the last three years. Recent events have demonstrated that the significant amount of PII held by these companies makes them attractive targets for cyber-attackers, and thus vulnerable to significant losses and liability costs.







Two trends, however, are driving up purchase rates for standalone cyber insurance coverage among non-traditional industries. First, insurers have sought to more carefully define the boundaries of property, casualty, and cyber policies; property insurers, for example, are generally no longer willing to provide coverage for business interruption caused by network intrusions. Those losses are increasingly expected to be covered under cyber policies, which have expanded to respond to a wide variety of potential risks while still being competitively priced. Second, the severe economic and operational disruptions caused by the 2017 WannaCry and notPetya malware attacks continue to haunt organizations with no heavy use or handling of PII, including manufacturers, logistics companies, and others. Those attacks, coupled with more recent, high-profile ransomware incidents, have made clear that cyber threats have evolved from data breach and theft to now include business interruption and supply chain disruption.



FIGURE

Communications, media, and technology, financial institutions, and power and utilities companies are purchasing higher cyber insurance limits

SOURCE: MARSH PLACEMAP (\*CMT figures include cyber/blended deals.)



As a result, more organizations that have not traditionally viewed themselves as at risk from cyber-attacks — such as manufacturers, power and utilities companies, and those heavily reliant on technology for operations, production, or systems control — are now purchasing cyber insurance (see Figure 3).

### Larger Companies Purchasing Higher Cyber Insurance Limits

As cyber risk is now a regular item on the boardroom agenda, businesses are recognizing that their cyber risk management programs must include more than technology. Corporate cybersecurity budgets are soaring, but C-suite, financial, and risk executives are using risk quantification — calculating the potential financial impact of cyber events on an organization — as a basis for their capital allocation strategies. They're also seeking to invest more in response planning, recovery, and risk transfer, including purchasing higher cyber insurance limits (see Figure 4).

In 2018, the average cyber policy limits purchased by companies with more than \$1 billion in annual revenue increased by more than 25%, from \$49.7 million in 2017 to \$62.4 million. Average cyber limits for all companies increased 11% to \$20.9 million in 2018, up from \$18.8 million in 2017. The sharpest rises were seen in communications, media, and technology, financial institutions, power and utilities, and retail/wholesale.

#### **Market Remains Competitive**

Growing awareness of cyber risk and expanded coverage offerings have driven demand for cyber insurance up significantly. Pricing, however, remains competitive thanks to a commensurate increase in supply. In the fourth quarter of 2018, average pricing for cyber insurance coverage fell by 0.6% (see Figure 5).





The market should remain stable for the remainder of 2019, barring a market-changing event.

Theoretical aggregate capacity in the cyber insurance market increased to \$1.8 billion in 2018, a threefold increase from 2015. Insurers also continue to provide more coverage in exchange for premium, although this trend may not continue indefinitely.

Despite being able to purchase coverage at favorable rates, buyers — especially large ones — are seeing insurers scrutinize their approach to cyber risk management. Insurers are demanding more information about technology firewalls and system redundancies, system upgrades and critical software patching, training programs, and other activities. Organizations renewing their cyber insurance programs in 2019 should be prepared for detailed questions on these topics.

#### **About This Briefing**

This report was prepared by the Cyber Practice within Marsh's US FINPRO Practice, in conjunction with Marsh Digital, Data & Analytics. Companies should consult with their Marsh risk advisors to identify their most prevalent cyber risks and to explore the services that can best align insurance solutions with their exposures.

For more information, email cyber.risk@marsh.com, contact your Marsh representative, or:

ROBERT PARISI Cyber Product Leader +1 212 345 5924 robert.parisi@marsh.com THOMAS REAGAN Cyber Practice Leader +1 212 345 9452 thomas.reagan@marsh.com

Marsh is one of the Marsh & McLennan Companies, together with Guy Carpenter, Mercer, and Oliver Wyman.

This document and any recommendations, analysis, or advice provided by Marsh (collectively, the "Marsh Analysis") are not intended to be taken as advice regarding any individual situation and should not be relied upon as such. The information contained herein is based on sources we believe reliable, but we make no representation or warranty as to its accuracy. Marsh shall have no obligation to update the Marsh Analysis and shall have no liability to you or any other party arising out of this publication or any matter contained herein. Any statements concerning actuarial, tax, accounting, or legal matters are based solely on our experience as insurance brokers and risk consultants and are not to be relied upon as actuarial, tax, accounting, or legal advice, for which you should consult your own professional advisors. Any modeling, analytics, or projections are subject to inherent uncertainty, and the Marsh Analysis could be materially affected if any underlying assumptions, conditions, information, or factors are inaccurate or incomplete or should change. Marsh makes no representation or warranty concerning the application of policy wording or the financial condition or solvency of insurers or reinsurers. Marsh makes no assurances regarding the availability, cost, or terms of insurance coverage. Although Marsh may provide advice and recommendations, all decisions regarding the amount, type or terms of coverage are the ultimate responsibility of the insurance purchaser, who must decide on the specific coverage that is appropriate to its particular circumstances and financial position.